

## **Remarks**

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed May 7, 2007. The fee for addition of new claims is included herewith.

### **I. Summary of Examiner's Rejections**

Claims 1-16 were pending in the Application prior to the outstanding Office Action. In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-16.

The drawings were objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because the drawings did not include items labeled 410, 420, 430, and 440 as described in the specification.

Claims 5 and 13 were objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being of improper dependent form.

Claims 1-16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 for antecedent basis reasons.

Claims 9-16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 for non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-16 were provisionally rejected for non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of copending Application No. 10/823,290.

Claims 1-16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Viswanath (U.S. Patent No. 7,206,827).

### **II. Summary of Applicant's Response**

The present Reply cancels claims 2-16, amends claim 1, and adds new claims 17-48, leaving for the Examiner's present consideration claims 1 and 17-48. A terminal disclaimer against Application No. 10/823,290 is attached. A replacement FIG. 4 is attached. Reconsideration of the rejections is requested.

### III. Response to Rejections

#### Independent Claim 1

Claim 1 was amended to better describe embodiments of the invention. Claim 1 states (as amended):

A computer-readable medium containing instructions stored thereon, wherein the instructions comprise:  
receiving an MBean definition file in XML format;  
generating an MBean jar file from the MBean definition file, wherein the MBean jar file includes a tag for the MBean and a tag for each attribute, operation, and potential notification issued by the MBean;  
placing the jar file in a predetermined directory within a managed server in a management domain; and  
providing a custom management capability through the MBean over the management domain.

Claim 1 describes a computer-readable medium for generating a custom MBean, including generating an MBean jar file which includes a tag for the MBean and a tag for each attribute, operation, potential notification issued by the MBean, and providing a custom management capability through the MBean over the management domain.

Viswanath discloses an administration framework which uses JMX and MBeans. However, Viswanath does not appear to disclose “providing a custom management capability through the MBean over the management domain,” which is a feature of Applicant’s Claim 1.

Applicant respectfully submits that the embodiment as defined in Independent Claim 1 is neither anticipated by nor obvious in view of Viswanath. Applicant respectfully requests that the 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) rejection to claim 1 be withdrawn. Dependent Claims 17-48 depend from Claim 1. For at least the reasons discussed above with regards to Claim 1, dependent Claims 17-48 are also patentable.

IV. Conclusion

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 3, 2007

By: /Thomas K. Plunkett/  
Thomas K. Plunkett  
Reg. No. 57,253

Customer No. 23910  
FLIESLER MEYER LLP  
650 California Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, California 94108  
Telephone: (415) 362-3800